Progress Update

Trevor Towstego 2-Ring $\nu_e^{}$ CC1 π^+ Meeting October 24, 2018

Using BDTs

- v1 Trial 8 best grid search result shown on next slide
- v0 Trial 9 grid search interrupted by brief NEUT cluster shutdown at TRIUMF
 - Also was taking significantly longer than expected
 - Just one result from v0 Trial 9 shown using same BDT parameters as best v1 Trial 8 grid search result

Pre-BD	OT cuts
vl	v0
 FCFV not 1Re/1Re1de possible 2Repi <u>0 de</u>: 2Reπ, 2Rπe, and 3Reππ sub-samples <u>1 de</u>: 1Re, 2Ree, 2Reπ, 2Rπe, 2Rµe, and 3Reππ sub- samples 	- FCFV - not 1Re/1Re1de
- 1/2 sub-events - $E_{rec}(1e,1\pi) < 1.5 \text{ GeV}$	- 1/2 sub-events - Ε _{rec} (1e,1π) < 1.5 GeV

				1	BDT variables	5			
	1R v 1R nll	1R v 2R nll	2R v 2R nll	2R v 3R nll	3R v 3R nll	3R v 4R nll	1R+2R kinematics	E_{rec} , towall e, towall π , p_{low} , $m_{\pi 0}$, (d2se)	1R+2R+ 3R fit indices
Trial 8	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Trial 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

v1 (trial 8) BDT event breakdown

NTrees=10000, MaxDepth=7, MinNodeSize=0.05, NCuts=50

(best performing BDT architecture for both 0de and 1de)

					00	de					
	1e1 π ^{+/-}	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l+/- 1π ⁻	0l ^{+/-} 1πº	0I ^{+/-} Νπ	0I ^{+/-} other
FCFV	5.08	46.83	6.66	11.13	47.24	24.92	7.53	10.63	83.37	16.81	17.60
BDT in	0.79	0.32	0.03	0.07	0.16	0.14	0.34	0.41	1.22	0.36	0.46
BDT out	0.60	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.03
					10	de					
	1e1π ^{+/-}	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l+/- 1π ⁻	0l ^{+/-} 1πº	0l ^{+/-} Nπ	0l ^{+/-} other
FCFV	7.48	5.24	2.68	38.78	148.00	60.21	10.26	3.33	4.58	15.24	6.31
BDT in	3.08	0.89	0.09	1.94	3.60	2.37	1.79	0.51	1.79	1.61	2.23
BDT out	2.15	0.19	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.24	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.09

		0de				1de					
	sig	bkg	FOM	δFOM		sig	bkg	FOM	δFOM		
FCFV	5.08	272.72			FCFV	7.48	294.57				
BDT in	0.79	3.51			BDT in	3.08	16.82				
BDT out	0.60	0.37	0.611	0.019	BDT out	2.15	0.90	1.230	0.019		

v0 (trial 9) BDT event breakdown

NTrees=10000, MaxDepth=7, MinNodeSize=0.05, NCuts=50

	Ode										
	1e1π ^{+/-}	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l+/- 1π ⁻	0l ^{+/-} 1π ⁰	0I ^{+/-} Nπ	0l ^{+/-} other
FCFV	5.08	46.83	6.66	11.13	47.24	24.92	7.53	10.63	83.37	16.81	17.60
BDT in	1.90	5.45	1.79	2.85	24.13	3.42	5.91	9.04	63.70	6.57	13.41
BDT out	0.54	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.01
					10	de					
	1e1π ^{+/-}	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l+/- 1π ⁻	0l ^{+/-} 1π ⁰	0I+/- Nπ	0l ^{+/-} other
FCFV	7.48	5.24	2.68	38.78	148.00	60.21	10.26	3.33	4.58	15.23	6.31
BDT in	3.45	0.92	0.42	15.40	99.70	12.21	9.15	2.38	2.53	6.76	5.03
BDT out	2.08	0.16	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.22	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.11

		0de			1de						
	sig	bkg	FOM	δFOM		sig	bkg	FOM	δFOM		
FCFV	5.08	272.72			FCFV	7.48	294.57				
BDT in	1.90	136.29			BDT in	3.45	154.50				
BDT out	0.54	0.28	0.597	0.020	BDT out	2.08	0.94	1.199	0.019		

Performance doesn't match that of v0 trial 8 (consistent with previous studies)

To Compare: "Improved Baseline" Selection

	0de										
	1e1 π ^{+/-}	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l ^{+/-} 1π ⁻	0l ^{+/-} 1π ⁰	0I ^{+/-} Nπ	0l ^{+/-} other
FCFV	13.08	52.30	10.20	90.54	216.44	136.26	19.53	15.01	88.74	39.20	25.13
eπ-like	5.40	2.35	0.24	1.20	1.37	4.95	0.99	0.65	2.20	1.77	0.71
0 decay e	1.26	0.61	0.08	0.13	0.20	1.22	0.33	0.38	1.54	0.59	0.21
E _{rec} <1.5 GeV	0.70	0.24	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.20	1.07	0.23	0.13
					10	de					
	1e1π +/-	1e	1e other	1μ1π ^{+/-}	1μ	1µ other	0I ^{+/-} 1π ⁺	0l ^{+/-} 1π ⁻	0 Ι +/- 1π ⁰	0I ^{+/-} Nπ	0l ^{+/-} other
FCFV	13.08	52.30	10.20	90.54	216.44	136.26	19.53	15.01	88.74	39.20	25.13
eπ-like	5.40	2.35	0.24	1.20	1.37	4.95	0.99	0.65	2.20	1.77	0.71
1 decay e	4.14	1.74	0.16	1.05	1.17	3.71	0.66	0.27	0.66	1.17	0.50
E _{rec} <1.5 GeV	2.71	0.75	0.03	0.56	0.78	0.69	0.50	0.17	0.31	0.51	0.44

	0de				1de		
	sig	bkg	FOM		sig	bkg	FOM
FCFV	13.08	693.36		FCFV	13.08	693.36	
eπ-like	5.40	16.43		eπ-like	5.40	16.43	
1 decay e	1.26	5.28		1 decay e	4.14	11.09	
E _{rec} <1.5 GeV	0.70	2.32	0.405	E _{rec} <1.5 GeV	2.71	4.73	0.992

Approaching Systematics

- Starting point: look at signal kinematic distributions before/after BDT
 - Understand which kinematic regions the selection is sensitive to
- Neutrino interaction uncertainties
 - Explore other event generators (NUWRO, GENIE)
 - Single and multi-pion variations
 - BDT for re-weighting MC to different generators? (Cris)
- Pion hadronic interactions (FSI and SI uncertainties)
 - TN325 (Elder) on tuning of the NEUT cascade model
 - Throws of possible FSI parameter set values using covariance matrix from TN325
- Detector systematics
 - Try to develop hybrid samples for different backgrounds

Starting this week

Kinematic Response Plots

- Signal:
 - E_{rec} , p_e^{rec} , p_{π}^{rec} , $cos(\theta_{e\pi}^{rec})$, E_{ν} , p_e^{tru} , p_{π}^{tru} , $cos(\theta_{e\pi}^{tru})$
- Background:
 - E_{rec} , p_e^{rec} , p_{π}^{rec} , $cos(\theta_{e\pi}^{rec})$, E_{ν}
 - not shown here, but plots are available
- 3 sets of plots:
 - FCFV cut
 - pre-BDT cuts
 - post-BDT





$\textbf{Ode:} \ p_{e}^{\text{ rec}}$











Ode: $cos(\theta_{e\pi}^{rec})$



14

Ode: $cos(\theta_{e\pi})^{t}$ tru













1de: p_{π}^{tru}



1de: $cos(\theta_{e\pi}^{rec})$



1de: $cos(\theta_{e\pi})$ tru



Current/Future Work

- Starting to look at using other generators on NEUT cluster
 - GENIE, NUWRO, any others?
 - Study neutrino interaction uncertainties
 - Can also investigate BDT over-training with different test samples